**$patial: Interactive Tool to Model HDB Resale Prices using Geographically Weighted Regression**

Guided by Associate Professor of Information Systems: Dr. Kam Tin Seong

**Shawn CHUA Jun Yong**

School of Information Systems

Singapore Management University (SMU)

Singapore

[shawn.chua.2018@sis.smu.edu.sg](mailto:shawn.chua.2018@sis.smu.edu.sg)

**ABSTRACT**

Valuing housing prices has seen a surge in popularity over the years amongst policy planners and economist due to the significant impact that properties have on the economy and society. The current hedonic pricing models used in the market fails to take into account the effect of local spatial features on the housing prices which however there has been increasing interest and studies carried out on Geographically Weighted Regression (GWR) model, a more precise regression model to stimulate the spatial distribution of housing prices. There are several GWR models available which poses a challenge to casual users who would like to conduct a simple and understandable analysis of the spatial distribution of housing prices. Therefore to address this issue, we developed $patial, an interactive and accessible application with an user friendly interface to aid economists and policy planners to effortlessly explore how variations of spatial features such as number of shopping malls surrounding the housing estate in different locations affects the price of the housing flats.

**Olivia GOO Yu Ya**

School of Social Sciences

Singapore Management University (SMU)

Singapore

[olivia.goo.2018@socsc.smu.edu.sg](mailto:olivia.goo.2018@socsc.smu.edu.sg)

**KEYWORDS**

Geographically Weighted Regression, Geospatial Analytics, Singapore HDB Resale Prices

**1 INTRODUCTION**

With rapid urbanization and population growth, the demand for housing around the world is increasing. The volume of housing transactions globally has seen a boom and global housing markets have been steadily climbing up the price index (*IMF Global Housing Watch*, n.d.). Home ownership being one of the universal signs of success and prosperity due to it being a long term investment places housing prices as an emerging subject of interest amongst policy planners, economists and home owners.

The existing hedonic housing pricing models are linear and does not account for spatial effects on the price of housing flats as such there is emerging interest in using GWR to accurately model housing prices (Chin et al., n.d.).

In order to solve the current gaps in the existing pricing models, for the purpose of our project we will be making use of R Shiny an open-source tool to build user-friendly GWR models for analysis to show the correlation between spatial attributes and resale flat prices.

We have selected Singapore’s housing market as our case study in this project as Singapore is known globally as the “tiny red dot” with limited amount of land space thus geographically constrained and albeit that, there has been an increase in construction of public housing in Singapore as a result of the rising number of population which makes it relevant to explore the effects of spatial variations on the housing prices.

This research paper documents the research and methods used in the process of implementing the GWR model in our application in relation to public housing prices. Section 1 provides a general introduction of the paper and motivations and objectives of the research. Section 2 provides a review of related papers, section 3 details the review of the analytical techniques used for visualizing and analyzing data. The results and future improvements are discussed in section 4 and the paper is concluded by highlighting the future direction of the research in section 5.

**2 REVIEW OF RELATED WORK**

The development of $patial is inspired by two published papers.

The first paper being EzModel (Chin et al., n.d.) where two models, GWR and mixed (semiparametric) GWR is used in the analysis of the datasets. The use of mixed GWR is effective in allowing users to decide which variables they would like to set as global or local variables to create an optimal model for comparison to the GWR model however it seems that there has not been a formal way to identify the two types of variables. Additionally, the use of isoline mapping via inverse weighted interpolation allows for more information to be shown whereby it highlights the difference in coefficient estimates to reflect the different effect of the number of spatial features on the resale price of the flats. Overall, the application is informative and clear allowing us to draw reference from it.

The second paper is the Simple Geo-Spatial Analysis using R-shiny (Li Junyi et al., n.d.) where

**3 DATA COLLECTION & PREPARATION**

**3.1 DATA COLLECTION**

The 2 main types of data used in our application is:

1. HDB Flat Resale Prices Data
2. Data on features provided to user which is used as independent variables in the GWR model

The fixed spatial features provided by $patial are:

1. Locations of MRT stations
2. Primary School Locations
3. Secondary School Locations
4. Community Centre Locations
5. Supermarkets Locations
6. Sports Facilities Locations
7. Preschools Locations
8. Hawkers Locations
9. Shopping Malls Locations

With the exception of:

* Shopping Malls Location – Wikipedia
* MRT and LRT Location – mytransport.sg

The rest of the datasets are obtained from data.gov.sg.

**3.2 DATA PREPARATION**

The datasets identified above came in different file types containing differing data types, some processing must be done for all the imported data to be integrated into the analysis.

The school dataset we obtained contains all schools ranging from primary to tertiary education (specifically junior colleges), therefore we decided to extract data only for primary and secondary schools and keep them as separate datasets for further analysis. Primary and Secondary schools are being focused on for the purpose of this study as we felt that, homeowners will be more concerned for the distance of primary and secondary schools seeing those that attend them are of the younger age group and parents may not want them to travel far.


For the datasets containing data on shopping malls and resale flat information, they do not contain geographical coordinate’s data which is needed for our analysis, therefore we have tapped on the existing Geocode tool provided by Google Sheets to obtain coordinate data (in longitude and latitude).

After ensuring that all datasets contain coordinate data. We have to convert those that are in coordinates (longitude and latitude) which are calculated in degrees into coordinates (X, Y) which are calculated in meters. This is to ensure proper integration for further analysis when proximity around resale flats need to be computed and distance metrics uses distance in meters. Data preparation is mainly done in Rmarkdown then exported into new csv files for usage in building of Shiny application.

**4 METHODS**

The following section reveals the techniques and algorithms used in the process of designing the application.

**4.1 APPLICATION ARCHITECTURE**



**Figure 1 – Application Architecture**

The application was developed using Shiny, an R program package. R shiny is a simple package that is used to build interactive web applications and dashboards. It runs on a Shiny server hosted by Shinyapps.io , the datasets mentioned in Section 3.1 are imported and stored in the server. At the backend, the CSV and Shapefile datasets are cleaned and used for geocoding, projection and GWR. Whenever the application runs the datasets are automatically loaded for use. The interactive maps featured in the application calls on the Leaflet package for it to be displayed.

**4.2 APPLICATION OVERVIEW**

**4.2.1 R Packages**

The following R packages shown in the table below are used to construct the $patial application.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| shiny | ggpubr | leaflet |
| sp | sf | tmaptools |
| Rgdal | spdep | spData |
| rgeos | GWmodel | sf |
| sf | tmap | tmap |
| tidyverse | olsrr | tidyverse |
| raster | corrplot | plotly |

**4.2.2 Algorithms**

**4.2.2.1 Geographically Weighted Regression**

$patial makes use of the GWR model, a local statistical technique to analyze spatial variations in relationships where spatial non-stationarity is assumed and tested by looking at the coefficients of the variables for each observation in the regression models. The GWR model is based on the “First law of Geography” where everything is related with everything else, but closer things are more related than remote ones and the resulting mathematical equation is expressed as such:


**GFigure 2 – GWR Equation**

represents the value of the output variable at the coordinate location  *,*  denotes the coordinates of the -th point in space and is a realization of the continuous functions at point (Brunsdon et al., 1999).

The values used in the formula depends on the location and surrounding of the observations with reference to its spatial context. In general, GWR measures the inherent relationships around each regression point , each set of regression coefficient is estimated by weighted least squares (Lu et al., 2014).

The GWR has to be calibrated before it can be used for processing. To calibrate the formula, firstly we need to distinguish between the different weighting kernel functions listed below:


1. Gaussian
2. Exponential
3. Box-car
4. Bi-square
5. Tri-cube




**Figure 3 – Plots showing the different weighting kernel functions**

The weighting kernels functions are classified into two categories – Continuous and Discontinuous kernels.

Continuous kernels are Gaussian and Exponential kernels where the kernels weight all the observations with a weight that tends towards zero but never produces a zero value (Bellefon & Floch, n.d.).

**Figure 4 – Formula of Continuous Kernels**

The kernels that fall into the discontinuous categories are Box-car, Bi-square and Tri-cube. The Box-Car kernel handles a continuous observation in a discontinuous method and Bi-square, Tri-cube kernels produce observations that are of decreasing weight with increasing distance however the weight gives a zero value beyond the specified distance called bandwidth as seen in figure 5 shown below.




**Figure 5 – Formula of Discontinuous Kernels**

Secondly, there is a need to determine fixed kernel versus adaptive kernel.

Fixed Kernel represents the extent of the kernel that is determined by the distance to the point of interest which is fixed and hence the kernel would appear the same at any location (Bellefon & Floch, n.d.). Additionally, a fixed kernel causes the regression to vary significantly as in low-density areas, if the fixed kernel is too small the number of points that is used in regression would be too little whereas if the area is dense a fixed kernel that is too large would overlook the variations in the area. Hence another alternative would be the adaptive kernel which represents the extent of the kernel that is determined by the number of neighbors that the point of interest has which varies according to the bandwidth adjusted according to the context of the observation in which the bandwidth increases and decreases following the density of the data points.

Lastly, there is a need to calibrate the choice of bandwidth used in the GWR model as the bandwidth chosen affects the results produced. Aside from allowing users to input the pre-defined bandwidth of their choice, there exists two other statistical methods which can assist in choosing the most suitable bandwidth.


Firstly, the cross-validation criteria given by the formula as shown in figure 6.



**Figure 6 – Formula of cross-validation criteria**

represents the cross-validation score and represents the bandwidth. If bandwidth , minimizes the cross-validation score it is the most suitable bandwidth value as it maximizes the GWR model’s predictive power (Bellefon & Floch, n.d.).

Secondly, the adjusted akaike criterion given by the formula as shown in figure 7.



**Figure 7 – Formula of Adjusted Akaike Criterion**

represents the sample size which and represents the bandwidth. The AIC criterion generally prefers larger bandwidth values as compared to the cross-validation criterion.

Therefore, the value of bandwidth minimizing these two statistical methods is an important indication of the relevance of Geographically Weighted Regression modelling on the study area.

**4.2.2.2 Spatial Autocorrelation amongst Residuals**

Another method used in the $patial application to ensure that the results obtained from GWR analysis is accurate is through the testing of spatial autocorrelation amongst the regression residuals.

The test for spatial correlation amongst residuals is done using the Global Moran’s I to obtain the p-value in order for comparison with the alpha value which test for statistical significance and in turn determines if the residuals tend towards clustering or not.

**5 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Fixed Bandwidth** | | **Adaptive Bandwidth** | |
|  | **Global Regression** | **GWR** |  |  |
| **R-square** | 0.5988 | 0.9909933 |  |  |
| **Adjusted R-square** | 0.5729 | 0.9093729 |  |  |
| **AIC** | 2581.478 | 2270.015 |  |  |